arXiv:1609.09321v1 [math.CO] 29 Sep 2016

The Hamilton-Waterloo problem with C_8-factors and C_m-factors

L. Wang and H. Cao *
Institute of Mathematics,
Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, China

Abstract

In this paper, we almost completely solve the Hamilton-Waterloo problem with C_8-factors and C_m-factors where the number of vertices is a multiple of $8m$.

Key words: Hamilton-Waterloo problem; 2-factorization; cycle decomposition

1 Introduction

In this paper, we denote the cycle of length k by C_k and the complete u-partite graph with u parts of size g by $K_u[g]$. Let H be a graph. A *factor* of H is a spanning subgraph of H. Suppose G is a subgraph of H, a *G-factor* of H is a set of edge-disjoint subgraphs of H, each isomorphic to G. A *G-factorization* of H is a set of edge-disjoint G-factors of H. A C_k-factorization of H is a partition of $E(H)$ into C_k-factors. Many papers introduced C_k-factorization of $K_u[g]$, see [2, 4, 10, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23].

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a C_k-factorization of $K_u[g]$ if and only if $g(u-1) \equiv 0 \pmod 2$, $gu \equiv 0 \pmod k$, k is even when $u = 2$, and $(k, u, g) \notin \{(3,3,2),(3,6,2),(3,3,6),(6,2,6)\}$.*

An *r-factor* is a factor which is r-regular. Obviously, a 2-factor consists of a collection of disjoint cycles. A *2-factorization* of a graph H is a partition of the edge set of H into 2-factors. The *Hamilton-Waterloo problem* is the problem of determining whether K_v (for v odd) or K_v minus a 1-factor (for v even) has a 2-factorization in which there are exactly α C_m-factors and β C_n-factors. The authors [24] generalize this problem to an r-regular graph H, and use $\mathrm{HW}(H; m, n; \alpha, \beta)$ to denote a 2-factorization of H (for r even) or H minus a 1-factor (for r odd) in which there are exactly α C_m-factors and β C_n-factors. Denote by $\mathrm{HWP}(H; m, n)$ the set of (α, β) for which an $\mathrm{HW}(H; m, n; \alpha, \beta)$ exists. When $H = K_v$,

*Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 11571179, the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province under Grant No. BK20131393, and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions. E-mail: caohaitao@njnu.edu.cn

the two notations $\mathrm{HW}(H;m,n;\alpha,\beta)$ and $\mathrm{HW}(H;m,n)$ are written as $\mathrm{HW}(v;m,n;\alpha,\beta)$ and $\mathrm{HW}(v;m,n)$, respectively. It's easy to see that the necessary conditions for the existence of an $\mathrm{HW}(v;m,n;\alpha,\beta)$ are $m|v$ when $\alpha>0$, $n|v$ when $\beta>0$ and $\alpha+\beta=\lfloor\frac{v-1}{2}\rfloor$. When $\alpha\beta=0$, the existence of an $\mathrm{HW}(v;m,n;\alpha,\beta)$ has been completely solved, see Theorem 1.1.

Many authors have considered the Hamilton-Waterloo problem for small values of m and n. A complete solution for the existence of an $\mathrm{HW}(v;3,n;\alpha,\beta)$ in the cases $n\in\{4,5,7\}$ is given in [1, 7, 14, 21, 24]. For the case $(m,n)\in\{(3,15),(5,15),(4,6),(4,8),(4,16),(8,16)\}$, see [1]. The existence of an $\mathrm{HW}(v;4,n;\alpha,\beta)$ for odd $n\geq 3$ has been solved except possibly when $v=8n$ and $\alpha=2$, see [13, 21, 24]. The authors [9] give a complete solution for the existence of an $\mathrm{HW}(v;4,n;\alpha,\beta)$ for even $n\geq 4$. It is shown in [12] that the necessary conditions for the existence of an $\mathrm{HW}(v;3,9;\alpha,\beta)$ are also sufficient except possibly when $\beta=1$. Many infinite classes of $\mathrm{HW}(v;3,3x;\alpha,\beta)$s are constructed in [3]. For more results on the Hamilton-Waterloo problem, the reader can see [5, 8, 11, 15, 16, 25]. In this paper, we focus on the existence of an $\mathrm{HW}(8mt;8,m;\alpha,\beta)$.

Theorem 1.2. *For $m\geq 3$ and $t\geq 1$, $(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathrm{HWP}(8mt;8,m)$ if and only if $\alpha,\beta\geq 0$ and $\alpha+\beta=4mt-1$, except possibly when $\alpha\in\{1,2\}$ and mt is odd or $\alpha\in\{1,2,4,5,6\}$ and mt is even.*

2 Decompositions of Cayley graphs

We start with some definitions in graph theory. For more general concepts of graph theory, see [26].

Given a graph G, $G[n]$ is the *lexicographic product* of G with the empty graph on n points. Specifically, the point set is $\{x_i : x\in V(G), i\in Z_n\}$ and $(x_i,y_j)\in E(G[n])$ if and only if $(x,y)\in E(G), i,j\in Z_n$. In the following we will denote by $C_m[n]$ the lexicographic product of C_m with the empty graph on n points. We have the following known results.

Theorem 2.1. ([6, 22]) *There exists a C_m-factorization of $C_m[n]$ for $m\geq 3$ and $n\geq 1$ except for $(m,n)=(3,6)$ and $(m,n)\in\{(l,2)\mid l\geq 3$ is odd $\}$.*

Theorem 2.2. ([17]) *There exists a C_{mn}-factorization of $C_m[n]$ for $m\geq 3$ and $n\geq 1$.*

Theorem 2.3. ([21]) *There exists a C_4-factorization of $C_m[4]$ for $m\geq 3$.*

Let Γ be a finite additive group and let S be a subset of $\Gamma\backslash\{0\}$ such that the opposite of every element of S also belongs to S. The Cayley graph over Γ with connection set S, denoted by $Cay(\Gamma,S)$, is the graph with vertex set Γ and edge set $E(Cay(\Gamma,S))=\{(a,b)|a,b\in\Gamma, a-b\in S\}$. It is quite obvious that $Cay(\Gamma,S)=Cay(\Gamma,\pm S)$.

Theorem 2.4. ([24]) *Let odd $m \geq 3$, $n \geq 3$. If $a \in Z_n$, $|\pm\{0, a, 2a\}| = 5$ and $(i, m) = 1$, then there is a C_m-factorization of $Cay(Z_m \times Z_n, \{\pm i\} \times (\pm\{0, a, 2a\}))$.*

Lemma 2.5. *Let $m \geq 3$, even $n \geq 4$ and $0 < d < n$ be coprime to n. There exist two C_n-factors which form a C_n-factorization of $Cay(Z_m \times Z_n, \{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm d\})$.*

Proof: Let $C_j = ((a_{j0}, b_{j0}), (a_{j1}, b_{j1}), \cdots, (a_{j,n-1}, b_{j,n-1}))$, $1 \leq j \leq 2$, where
$$a_{10} = a_{21} = 0;\ a_{20} = a_{11} = 1;\ a_{jt} = a_{j,t-2},\ 2 \leq t \leq n-1,$$
$$b_{jt} = td \pmod n,\ 0 \leq t \leq n-1.$$

Since $(d, n) = 1$, we know that b_{jt}, $0 \leq t \leq n-1$, are all distinct modulo n. Then each C_j will generate a C_n-factor by $(+1 \pmod m, -)$. Thus we obtain the required two C_n-factors which form a C_n-factorization of $Cay(Z_m \times Z_n, \{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm d\})$. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let $m, n \geq 4$ be even integers and $1 \leq d \leq n/2 - 1$. There exist two C_m-factors which form a C_m-factorization of $Cay(Z_m \times Z_n, \{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm d\})$.*

Proof: Let $C_j = ((0, b_{j0}), (1, b_{j1}), \cdots, (m-1, b_{j,m-1}))$, $1 \leq j \leq 2$, where
$$b_{10} = b_{21} = 0;\ b_{20} = b_{11} = d;\ b_{jt} = b_{j,t-2},\ 2 \leq t \leq m-1.$$
Each C_j can generate a C_m-factor by $(-, +1 \pmod n)$. Then the conclusion follows. □

Lemma 2.7. *Let even $n \geq 4$, $m \geq 3$ when $d = 0$ or even $m \geq 4$ when $d = n/2$. There is a C_m-factorization of $Cay(Z_m \times Z_n, \{\pm 1\} \times \{d\})$.*

Proof: The cycle $(0_0, 1_d, 2_0, 3_d, \ldots, (m-2)_0, (m-1)_d)$ can generate a C_m-factor by $(-, +1 \pmod n)$ which is a C_m-factorization of $Cay(Z_m \times Z_n, \{\pm 1\} \times \{d\})$. □

Lemma 2.8. *Let odd $m \geq 3$, even $n \geq 4$, and let $a, b \in Z_n$ with $|\pm\{a, b, a+b\}| = 6$. There exist six C_m-factors which form a C_m-factorization of $Cay(Z_m \times Z_n, \{\pm 1\} \times (\pm\{a, b, a+b\}))$.*

Proof: Let $C_j = ((0, 0), (1, b_{j1}), \cdots, (m-1, b_{j,m-1}))$, $1 \leq j \leq 6$, where
$$b_{11} = -b_{41} = -b_{22} = b_{52} = a;\ b_{21} = -b_{51} = -b_{32} = b_{62} = b;$$
$$b_{31} = -b_{61} = -b_{12} = b_{42} = -(a+b);$$
$b_{jt} = 0$ when $t \geq 3$ is odd or $b_{jt} = b_{j1}$ when $t \geq 3$ is even.

Each C_j can generate a C_m-factor by $(-, +1 \pmod n)$. Then the conclusion follows. □

Lemma 2.9. *Let odd $m \geq 3$, even $n \geq 4$ and $1 \leq d < n$. There exist three C_m-factors which form a C_m-factorization of $Cay(Z_m \times Z_n, \{\pm 1\} \times \{0, \pm d\})$.*

Proof: Let $C_j = ((0, 0), (1, b_{j1}), \cdots, (m-1, b_{j,m-1}))$, $1 \leq j \leq 3$, where
$$b_{21} = -b_{31} = b_{12} = -b_{32} = d;\ b_{11} = b_{22} = 0;$$
$b_{jt} = 0$ when $t \geq 3$ is odd or $b_{jt} = b_{j1}$ when $t \geq 3$ is even.

Each C_j can generate a C_m-factor by $(-, +1 \pmod n)$. We obtain the conclusion. □

Lemma 2.10. *Let odd $m \geq 5$. The graph $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm 1, 4\})$ can be decomposed into two C_8-factors and a C_m-factor.*

Proof: For $m = 5$, the C_5-factor can be generated from $(0_0, 1_1, 2_2, 3_3, 4_4)$ by $(-, +1 \pmod 8)$. Two C_8-factors are as below.

$\{(0_0, 4_1, 0_2, 4_3, 0_4, 4_5, 0_6, 4_7), (0_{1+2i}, 1_{5+2i}, 2_{1+2i}, 3_{5+2i}, 4_{4+2i}, 3_{2i}, 2_{4+2i}, 1_{2i}) \mid 0 \leq i \leq 3\}$,

$\{(4_0, 0_1, 4_2, 0_3, 4_4, 0_5, 4_6, 0_7), (0_{2i}, 1_{4+2i}, 2_{3+2i}, 3_{2+2i}, 4_{1+2i}, 3_{5+2i}, 2_{6+2i}, 1_{7+2i}) \mid 0 \leq i \leq 3\}$.

For $m \geq 7$, the C_m-factor can be obtained from $(0_0, 1_1, 2_2, 3_3, 4_4, 5_0, 6_4, \cdots, i_0, (i+1)_4, \cdots, (m-2)_0, (m-1)_4)$ by $(-, +1 \pmod 8)$. Two C_8-factors are given as follows.

$\{(0_0, (m-1)_1, 0_2, (m-1)_3, 0_4, (m-1)_5, 0_6, (m-1)_7), (0_{1+2j}, 1_{5+2j}, 2_{1+2j}, 3_{5+2j}, 4_{4+2j}, 3_{2j}, 2_{4+2j}, 1_{2j}),$
$((i+1)_0, i_1, (i+1)_2, i_3, (i+1)_4, i_5, (i+1)_6, i_7) \mid 4 \leq i \leq m-2, 0 \leq j \leq 3\}$,

$\{((m-1)_0, 0_1, (m-1)_2, 0_3, (m-1)_4, 0_5, (m-1)_6, 0_7), (0_{2j}, 1_{4+2j}, 2_{3+2j}, 3_{2+2j}, 4_{1+2j}, 3_{5+2j}, 2_{6+2j}, 1_{7+2j}),$
$(i_0, (i+1)_1, i_2, (i+1)_3, i_4, (i+1)_5, i_6, (i+1)_7) \mid 4 \leq i \leq m-2, 0 \leq j \leq 3\}$. ∎

For the following lemmas, we need a special 1-factorization of K_8 whose 7 1-factors are listed as below.

$I_1 = \{(0,1), (2,3), (4,5), (6,7)\}$, $I_2 = (\{(1,2), (3,4), (5,6), (7,0)\}$, $I_3 = \{(0,4), (1,5), (2,6), (3,7)\}$,
$I_4 = \{(0,5), (1,7), (2,4), (3,6)\}$, $I_5 = \{(0,2), (1,3), (5,7), (4,6)\}$, $I_6 = \{(0,6), (1,4), (2,7), (3,5)\}$,
$I_7 = \{(0,3), (1,6), (2,5), (4,7)\}$. Note that $I_i \cup I_{i+1}$ can form a 8-cycle for any $i \in \{1, 3, 5\}$.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $m \geq 3$. There exist two C_8-factors which form a C_8-factorization of $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{2\}) \cup mI_1 \cup mI_3$.*

Proof: Let $C_1 = (0_0, 1_2, 1_6, 0_4, 0_5, 1_7, 1_3, 0_1)$, $C_2 = (1_0, 0_6, 0_7, 1_1, 1_5, 0_3, 0_2, 1_4)$. Each C_i will generate a C_8-factor by $(+1 \pmod m, -)$. Thus we get two C_8-factors which form a C_8-factorization of $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{2\}) \cup mI_1 \cup mI_3$. ∎

Lemma 2.12. *Let $m \geq 3$. There exist two C_8-factors which form a C_8-factorization of $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{6\}) \cup mI_2 \cup mI_7$.*

Proof: Let $C_1 = (0_0, 1_6, 1_1, 0_3, 0_4, 1_2, 1_5, 0_7)$, $C_2 = (1_0, 0_2, 0_1, 1_7, 1_4, 0_6, 0_5, 1_3)$. Each C_i will generate a C_8-factor by $(+1 \pmod m, -)$. We get the conclusion. ∎

Lemma 2.13. *Let $m \geq 3$. The graph $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{4\}) \cup m(\cup_{i=4}^{6} I_i)$ can be decomposed into two C_8-factors and a 1-factor.*

Proof: The 1-factor is $\{(j_1, (j+1)_5), (j_2, (j+1)_6), (j_4, (j+1)_0), (j_7, (j+1)_3) \mid j \in Z_m\}$. Let $C_1 = (0_0, 0_2, 0_7, 0_5, 0_3, 0_1, 0_4, 0_6)$, $C_2 = (0_0, 0_5, 1_1, 1_7, 0_3, 0_6, 1_2, 1_4)$. Each C_i will generate a C_8-factor by $(+1 \pmod m, -)$. Thus, we obtain the required C_8-factors and 1-factor. ∎

Lemma 2.14. *Let $m \geq 3$. The graph $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{4\}) \cup mK_8$ can be decomposed into four C_8-factors and a 1-factor.*

Proof: The 1-factor is $\{(j_3, (j+1)_7), (j_4, (j+1)_0), (j_5, (j+1)_1), (j_6, (j+1)_2)\} \mid j \in Z_m\}$. Let

$C_1 = (0_0, 0_2, 0_1, 0_3, 0_5, 0_4, 0_6, 0_7)$, $C_2 = (0_0, 0_3, 0_2, 0_4, 0_7, 0_5, 0_1, 0_6)$,

$C_3 = (0_0, 0_4, 0_1, 0_7, 0_3, 0_6, 0_2, 0_5)$, $C_4 = (0_0, 0_1, 1_5, 1_6, 0_2, 0_7, 1_3, 1_4)$.

For $1 \leq i \leq 4$, each C_i will generate a C_8-factor by $(+1 \pmod m, -)$. Thus we get the required conclusion. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In order to prove our main results, we still need the following construction.

Construction 3.1. ([24]) *If there exist an* $\mathrm{HW}(K_u[g]; m, n; \alpha, \beta)$ *and an* $\mathrm{HW}(g; m, n; \alpha', \beta')$, *then an* $\mathrm{HW}(gu; m, n; \alpha + \alpha', \beta + \beta')$ *exists.*

Lemma 3.2. *For $m \geq 3$ and $r \in \{0, 2, 4, 8\}$, $(r, 8-r) \in \mathrm{HWP}(C_m[8]; 8, m)$.*

Proof: We consider each of these cases in turn.

Case 1: $r = 0$.

The conclusion comes from Theorem 2.1.

Case 2: $r = 2$.

Two C_8-factors are given from a C_8-factorization of $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm 3\})$ by Lemma 2.5. The required six C_m-factors can be obtained as follows.

(1) m is even.

The graph $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{0, 4\})$ can be decomposed into two C_m-factors by Lemma 2.7. Similarly, $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times (\pm\{1, 2\}))$ can be partitioned into four C_m-factors from Lemma 2.6.

(2) m is odd.

Let $C_i^j = ((0, b_{i0}^j), (1, b_{i1}^j), \cdots, (m-1, b_{i,m-1}^j))$, $1 \leq i \leq 6$, $j = 1, 2$, where

$$\begin{array}{llllll} b_{10}^1 = 0, & b_{11}^1 = 0, & b_{12}^1 = 1; & b_{10}^2 = 1, & b_{11}^2 = 7, & b_{12}^2 = 0; \\ b_{20}^1 = 0, & b_{21}^1 = 2, & b_{22}^1 = 0; & b_{20}^2 = 1, & b_{21}^2 = 1, & b_{22}^2 = 3; \\ b_{30}^1 = 0, & b_{31}^1 = 1, & b_{32}^1 = 7; & b_{30}^2 = 1, & b_{31}^2 = 0, & b_{32}^2 = 2; \\ b_{40}^1 = 0, & b_{41}^1 = 6, & b_{42}^1 = 2; & b_{40}^2 = 1, & b_{41}^2 = 3, & b_{42}^2 = 7; \\ b_{50}^1 = 0, & b_{51}^1 = 4, & b_{52}^1 = 4; & b_{50}^2 = 1, & b_{51}^2 = 5, & b_{52}^2 = 5; \\ b_{60}^1 = 0, & b_{61}^1 = 7, & b_{62}^1 = 6; & b_{60}^2 = 7, & b_{61}^2 = 0, & b_{62}^2 = 7. \end{array}$$

When $m \geq 5$, for any $t \geq 3$, $(b_{1t}^1, b_{1t}^2, b_{2t}^1, b_{2t}^2, b_{3t}^1, b_{3t}^2, b_{4t}^1, b_{4t}^2, b_{5t}^1, b_{5t}^2, b_{6t}^1, b_{6t}^2)$=$(1, 0, 4, 7, 1, 4, 0, 5, 5, 6, 5, 6)$ for odd t or $(1, 0, 0, 3, 7, 2, 2, 7, 4, 5, 6, 7)$ for even t. Each $F_i = \{C_i^j \mid j = 1, 2\}$ will generate a C_m-factor by $(-, +2 \pmod 8)$.

Case 3: $r = 4$.

Four C_8-factors come from a C_8-factorization of $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times (\pm\{1,3\}))$ by Lemma 2.5. The graph $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{0\})$ can be decomposed into a C_m-factor by Lemma 2.7. The other three C_m-factors are given as follows.

(1) m is even.

From Lemma 2.7, $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{4\})$ can be decomposed into a C_m-factor. The graph $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm 2\})$ will be partitioned into two C_m-factors from Lemma 2.6.

(2) m is odd.

Let $C_i^j = ((0, b_{i0}^j), (1, b_{i1}^j), \cdots, (m-1, b_{i,m-1}^j))$, $1 \le i \le 3$, $1 \le j \le 4$, where

$$\begin{array}{llllll} b_{10}^1 = 0, & b_{11}^1 = 2, & b_{12}^1 = 4; & b_{10}^2 = 2, & b_{11}^2 = 0, & b_{12}^2 = 6; \\ b_{10}^3 = 1, & b_{11}^3 = 3, & b_{12}^3 = 5; & b_{10}^4 = 3, & b_{11}^4 = 1, & b_{12}^4 = 7; \\ b_{20}^1 = 0, & b_{21}^1 = 6, & b_{22}^1 = 2; & b_{20}^2 = 6, & b_{21}^2 = 0, & b_{22}^2 = 4; \\ b_{20}^3 = 1, & b_{21}^3 = 7, & b_{22}^3 = 3; & b_{20}^4 = 7, & b_{21}^4 = 1, & b_{22}^4 = 5; \\ b_{30}^1 = 0, & b_{31}^1 = 4, & b_{32}^1 = 6; & b_{30}^2 = 6, & b_{31}^2 = 2, & b_{32}^2 = 0; \\ b_{30}^3 = 1, & b_{31}^3 = 5, & b_{32}^3 = 7; & b_{30}^4 = 7, & b_{31}^4 = 3, & b_{32}^4 = 1. \end{array}$$

For $m \ge 5$ and $t \ge 3$, $(b_{1t}^1, b_{1t}^2, b_{1t}^3, b_{1t}^4, b_{2t}^1, b_{2t}^2, b_{2t}^3, b_{2t}^4, b_{3t}^1, b_{3t}^2, b_{3t}^3, b_{3t}^4)$=$(0, 2, 1, 3, 4, 6, 5, 7, 4, 6, 5, 7)$ for odd t or $(4, 6, 5, 7, 2, 4, 3, 5, 6, 0, 7, 1)$ for even t. Each $F_i = \{C_i^j \mid 1 \le j \le 4\}$ will generate a C_m-factor by $(-, +4 \pmod 8)$.

Case 4: $r = 8$.

The graph $C_m[4]$ can be decomposed into four C_4-factors for $m \ge 3$ by Theorem 2.3. Give each vertex weight 2 to get four $C_4[2]$-factors. From Theorem 2.2, each $C_4[2]$ of $C_4[2]$-factor can be partitioned into two C_8-factors. Thus each $C_4[2]$-factors can be decomposed into two C_8-factors. Finally, we get the required C_8-factors. ∎

Lemma 3.3. *For $m \ge 3$ and $3 \le r \le 11$, the graph $C_m[8] \cup mK_8$ can be partitioned into r C_8-factors, $11 - r$ C_m-factors and a 1-factor.*

Proof: We distinguish six cases as below.

Case 1: $r \in \{3, 5, 7, 11\}$.

$C_m[8]$ can be partitioned into r_1 C_8-factors and $8 - r_1$ C_m-factors for $m \ge 3$ and $r_1 \in \{0, 2, 4, 8\}$ by Lemma 3.2. Since K_8 can be decomposed into three C_8-factors and a 1-factor by Theorem 1.1, the graph mK_8 can be decomposed into three C_8-factors and a 1-factor. Thus we get $r = r_1 + 3$ C_8-factors, $8 - r_1$ C_m-factors and a 1-factor.

Case 2: $r = 4$.

$Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{4\}) \cup mK_8$ can be decomposed into four C_8-factors and a 1-factor by Lemma 2.14. A C_m-factor comes from a C_m-factorization of $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{0\})$ by Lemma 2.7. $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times (\pm\{1, 2, 3\}))$ can be partitioned into six C_m-factors from Lemma 2.6 or Lemma 2.8 when m is even or odd.

Case 3: $r = 6$.

$Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{4\}) \cup mK_8$ can be decomposed into four C_8-factors and a 1-factor by Lemma 2.14. The other two C_8-factors are given from a C_8-factorization of $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm 3\})$ by Lemma 2.5. Five C_m-factors are as follows.

(1) m is even.

The graph $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{0\})$ can be decomposed into a C_m-factor by Lemma 2.7. Further, $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times (\pm\{1, 2\}))$ will be partitioned into four C_m-factors from Lemma 2.6.

(2) m is odd.

By Lemma 2.4, $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times (\pm\{0, 1, 2\}))$ can be decomposed into five C_m-factors.

Case 4: $r = 8$.

From Lemma 2.14, $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{4\}) \cup mK_8$ can be decomposed into four C_8-factors and a 1-factor. The other four C_8-factors are given from a C_8-factorization of $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times (\pm\{1, 3\}))$ by Lemma 2.5. Three C_m-factors are given as below.

(1) m is even.

The graphs $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{0\})$ and $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm 2\})$ can be decomposed into a C_m-factor and two C_m-factors by Lemmas 2.7 and 2.6, respectively.

(2) m is odd.

From Lemma 2.9 $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{0, \pm 2\})$ can be decomposed into three C_m-factors.

Case 5: $r = 9$.

The 1-factor is mI_4.

There exist two C_8-factors from a C_8-factorization of $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{2\}) \cup mI_1 \cup mI_3$ by Lemma 2.11. The graph $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{6\}) \cup mI_2 \cup mI_7$ can be decomposed into two C_8-factors by Lemma 2.12. The graph $m(I_5 \cup I_6)$ can be decomposed into a C_8-factor since $I_5 \cup I_6$ can form a 8-cycle. $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm 3\})$ can be decomposed into two C_8-factors by Lemma 2.5. The other two C_8-factors and two C_m-factors are given as follows.

(1) m is even.

By Lemma 2.5, $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm 1\})$ can be decomposed into two C_8-factors. The graph $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{0, 4\})$ can be partitioned into two C_m-factors from Lemma 2.7.

(2) m is odd.

① $m = 3$.

Two C_3-factors and two C_8-factors are as below.

$\{(0_0, 1_0, 2_1), (0_1, 1_1, 2_0), (0_2, 1_2, 2_3), (0_3, 1_3, 2_2), (0_4, 1_4, 2_5), (0_5, 1_5, 2_4), (0_6, 1_6, 2_7), (0_7, 1_7, 2_6)\}$,
$\{(0_0, 1_4, 2_0), (0_4, 1_0, 2_4), (0_1, 1_2, 2_1), (0_2, 1_1, 2_2), (0_3, 1_7, 2_3), (0_7, 1_3, 2_7), (0_5, 1_6, 2_5), (0_6, 1_5, 2_6)\}$.
$\{(0_0, 1_1, 2_1, 0_5, 2_6, 1_2, 0_6, 1_7), (1_0, 2_0, 0_7, 2_3, 1_4, 2_4, 0_3, 2_7), (0_1, 2_2, 1_6, 0_2, 1_3, 0_4, 1_5, 2_5)\}$,

$\{(0_0, 2_4, 1_3, 2_3, 0_4, 2_0, 1_7, 2_7), (1_0, 0_1, 1_5, 2_1, 0_2, 2_6, 1_6, 0_7), (1_1, 0_5, 1_4, 0_3, 1_2, 2_2, 0_6, 2_5)\}$.

② $m \geq 5$.

The graph $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm 1, 4\})$ can be decomposed into two C_8-factors and a C_m-factor by Lemma 2.10. The last C_m-factor comes from a C_m-factorization of $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{0\})$ from Lemma 2.7.

Case 6: $r = 10$.

Four C_8-factors are given from a C_8-factorization of $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times (\pm\{1, 3\}))$ by Lemma 2.5. The graph $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{2, 4, 6\}) \cup mK_8$ can be decomposed into six C_8-factors and a 1-factor by Lemmas 2.11-2.13. Further, the graph $Cay(Z_m \times Z_8, \{\pm 1\} \times \{0\})$ can be partitioned into a C_m-factor by Lemma 2.7. ∎

Finally, we prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: We distinguish two cases to discuss.

Case 1: mt is odd.

We start with an HW$(K_{mt}[1]; 8, m; 0, \frac{mt-1}{2})$ on the vertex set Z_{mt} from Theorem 1.1. Give each vertex weight 8 to get $\frac{mt-1}{2}$ $C_m[8]$-factors which are denoted by P_i, $1 \le i \le \frac{mt-1}{2}$ and mtK_8. Each P_i have t $C_m[8]$s, denoted by Q_{ij}, $1 \le j \le t$.

For P_i, $1 \le i \le \frac{mt-3}{2}$, we only choose x of them with $0 \le x \le \frac{mt-3}{2}$ and replace each $C_m[8]$ in x $C_m[8]$-factors with an HW$(C_m[8]; 8, m; 8, 0)$ from Lemma 3.2. Further, replace each $C_m[8]$ in the rest of $C_m[8]$-factors an HW$(C_m[8]; 8, m; 0, 8)$ from Lemma 3.2.

For $P_{\frac{mt-1}{2}}$ and $1 \le j \le t$, the graph $Q_{\frac{mt-1}{2},j} \cup mK_8$ can be partitioned into r C_8-factors, $11 - r$ C_m-factors and a 1-factor for $3 \le r \le 11$ by Lemma 3.3. Put them together to get r C_8-factors, $11 - r$ C_m-factors and a 1-factor on the vertex set $Z_{mt} \times Z_8$.

Hence, we obtain $\alpha = 8x + r$ C_8-factors, $\beta = 8 \cdot (\frac{mt-3}{2} - x) + 11 - r = 4mt - 1 - (8x + r)$ C_m-factors and a 1-factor for $0 \le x \le \frac{mt-3}{2}$ and $3 \le r \le 11$. It's easy to check that α cover the integers from 3 to $4mt - 1$.

Case 2: mt is even.

(1) $\alpha = 3$.

We can get the conclusion by using Construction 3.1 with an HW$(8;\ 8, m; 3, 0)$ and an HW$(K_{mt}[8]; 8, m; 0, 4mt - 4)$ from Theorem 1.1.

(2) $\alpha \ge 7$.

Beginning with an HW$(K_{mt}[1]; 8, m; 0, \frac{mt-2}{2})$ with $\frac{mt-2}{2}$ C_m-factors and a 1-factor from Theorem 1.1 and giving each vertex weight 8, we get $\frac{mt-2}{2}$ $C_m[8]$-factors which are denoted by P_i, $1 \le i \le \frac{mt-2}{2}$, mtK_8 and $\frac{mt}{2}K_2[8]$.

For P_i, $1 \le i \le \frac{mt-4}{2}$, we also choose x of them with $0 \le x \le \frac{mt-4}{2}$ and replace each $C_m[8]$ in x $C_m[8]$-factors with an HW$(C_m[8]; 8, m; 8, 0)$ from Lemma 3.2. Next, replace each $C_m[8]$ in the rest of $C_m[8]$-factors an HW$(C_m[8]; 8, m; 0, 8)$ from Lemma 3.2.

It is similar to the first case, $P_{\frac{mt-2}{2}} \cup mtK_8$ can be decomposed into r C_8-factors, $11 - r$ C_m-factors and a 1-factor on the vertex set $Z_{mt} \times Z_8$ for $m \ge 3$ and $3 \le r \le 11$.

$\frac{mt}{2}K_2[8]$ can be partitioned into 4 C_8-factors by Lemma 1.1.

We together get $\alpha = 8x+r+4$ C_8-factors, $\beta = 8\cdot(\frac{mt-4}{2}-x)+11-r = 4mt-1-(8x+r+4)$ C_m-factors and a 1-factor for $0 \leq x \leq \frac{mt-4}{2}$ and $3 \leq r \leq 11$. We can check that α cover the integers from 7 to $4mt-1$. ∎

References

[1] P. Adams, E. J. Billington, D. E. Bryant, S.I. El-Zanati, On the Hamilton-Waterloo problem, *Graphs Combin.* **18** (2002), 31-51.

[2] B. Alspach, P. J. Schellenberg, D. R. Stinson, D. Wagner, The Oberwolfach problem and factors of uniform odd length cycles, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **52** (1989), 20-43.

[3] J. Asplund, D. Kamin, M. Keranen, A. Pastine, S. Özkan, On the Hamilton-Waterloo problem with triangle factors and C_{3x}-factors, *Australas. J. Combin.* **64** (2016), 458-474.

[4] A. Assaf, A. Hartman, Resolvable group divisible designs with block size 3, *Discrete Math.* **77** (1989), 5-20.

[5] A. Burgess, P. Danziger, T. Traetta, On the Hamilton-Waterloo problem with odd orders, (2015), arXiv:1510.07079 [math.CO].

[6] H. Cao, M. Niu, C. Tang, On the existence of cycle frames and almost resolvable cycle systems, *Discrete Math.* **311** (2011), 2220-2232.

[7] P. Danziger, G. Quattrocchi, B. Stevens, The Hamilton-Waterloo problem for cycle sizes 3 and 4, *J. Combin. Des.* **17** (2009), 342-352.

[8] J. H. Dinitz, A. C. H. Ling, The Hamilton-Waterloo problem: The case of triangle-factors and one Hamilton cycle, *J. Combin. Des.* **17** (2009), 160-176.

[9] H. Fu, K. Huang, The Hamilton-Waterloo problem for two even cycles factors, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **12** (2008), 933-940.

[10] D. G. Hoffman, P. J. Schellenberg, The existence of C_k-factorizations of $K_{2n}-F$, *Discrete Math.* **97** (1991), 243-250.

[11] P. Horak, R. Nedela, A. Rosa, The Hamilton-Waterloo problem: The case of Hamilton cycles and triangle-factors, *Discrete Math.* **284** (2004), 181-188.

[12] D. C. Kamin, Hamilton-Waterloo problem with triangle and C_9-factors, Master's Thesis Michigan Technological University, 2011. https://digitalcommons/etds/207

[13] M. Keranen, S. Özkan, The Hamilton-Waterloo problem with 4-cycles and a singer factor of n-cycles, **29** (2013), 1827-1837.

[14] H. Lei, H. Fu, The Hamilton-Waterloo problem for triangle-factors and heptagon-factors, *Graphs Combin.* **32** (2016), 271-278.

[15] H. Lei, H. Fu, H. Shen, The Hamilton-Waterloo problem for Hamilton cycles and C_{4k}-factors, *Ars. Combin.* **100** (2011), 341-348.

[16] H. Lei, H. Shen, The Hamilton-Waterloo problem for Hamilton cycles and triangle-factors, *J. Combin. Des.* **20** (2012), 305-316.

[17] A. C. H. Ling, J. H. Dinitz, The Hamilton-Waterloo problem with triangle-factors and Hamilton cycles: The case $n \equiv 3 \pmod{18}$, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* **70** (2009), 143-147.

[18] J. Liu, A generalization of the Oberwolfach problem and C_t-factorizations of complete equipartite graphs, *J. Combin. Des.* **8** (2000), 42-49.

[19] J. Liu, The equipartite Oberwolfach problem with uniform tables, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **101** (2003), 20-34.

[20] J. Liu, D. R. Lick, On λ-fold equipartite Oberwolfach problem with uniform table sizes, *Ann. Comb.* **7** (2003), 315-323.

[21] U. Odabaşı, S. Özkan, The Hamilton-Waterloo problem with C_4 and C_m factors, *Discrete Math.* **339** (2016), 263-269.

[22] W. L. Piotrowski, The solution of the bipartite analogue of the Oberwolfach problem, *Discrete Math.* **97** (1991), 339-356.

[23] R. Rees, Two new direct product-type constructions for resolvable group-divisible designs, *J. Combin. Des.* **1** (1993), 15-26.

[24] L. Wang, F. Chen, H. Cao, The Hamilton-Waterloo problem for C_3-factors and C_n-factors, (2016), arXiv:1609.00453 [math.CO].

[25] L. Wang, S. Lu, H. Cao, Further results on the Hamilton-Waterloo problem, (2016), arXiv:1605.00818 [math.CO].

[26] D. West, Introduction to Graph Theory, 2nd Edition, Prentice Hall, 2001.